

INTEGRABLE GEOMETRY and SOLITON EQUATIONS IN 2+1 DIMENSIONS¹

R.Myrzakulov

Centre for Nonlinear Problems, PO Box 30, 480035, Alma-Ata-35, Kazakhstan

E-mail: cnlpmyra@satsun.sci.kz

Abstract

Using the differential geometry of curves and surfaces, the L-equivalent soliton equations of the some (2+1) - dimensional integrable spin systems are found. These equations include the modified Novikov-Veselov, Kadomtsev- Petviashvili, Nizhnik-Novikov-Veselov and other equations. Some aspects of the connection between geometry and multidimensional soliton equations are discussed.

¹Preprint CNLP-1994-06. Alma-Ata.1994

1 Introduction

The relation between geometry and soliton equations has been the subject of continued interest in recent years[1-9]. In general the connection between geometry and nonlinear partial differential equations(NPDE) has the long history (for a historical review, see, e.g., [4]). Spin systems (which are the important from physical and mathematical point of views subclass of NPDE) are a good laboratory to demonstrate and to understand the connection of geometry and NPDE. The first representative of integrable spin systems is the isotropic Landau-Lifshitz equation(LLE)[2,10]

$$\vec{S}_t = \vec{S} \wedge \vec{S}_{xx} \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{S}^2 = E = \pm 1$. Here and hereafter subscripts denote partial derivatives. Pioneering work by Lakshmanan [2] showed that the LLE (1) is equivalent to the known nonlinear Schrodinger equation(NLSE)

$$iq_t + q_{xx} + 2E |q|^2 q = 0 \quad (2)$$

for the case $E = +1$ (for the case $E = -1$ the such equivalence was established in [17]). This equivalence in [17] we called **the Lakshmanan equivalence** or shortly **L-equivalence**. As well known between equations(1) and (2) take place the gauge equivalence[15].

Let us, first, we briefly recall some of the well known facts on the geometrical formalism that used in [2], with the minor modifications (including the case $E = -1$) of ref.[17]. Consider the motion of curves which are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_t = G \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3b)$$

with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 \\ -Ek & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \\ -E\omega_3 & 0 & \omega_1 \\ E\omega_2 & -\omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we have

$$C_t - G_x + [C, G] = 0 \quad (4)$$

Here $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$ denote respectively the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors, defined in the usual way, k and τ are respectively the curvature and torsion of the curve. Note that (3a) is the usual Serret-Frenet equation (SFE). If $\vec{S} = \vec{e}_1$, then the function $q = \frac{k}{2} e^{-i\partial_x^{-1}\tau}$ satisfies the NLSE(2), that is, equations(1) and (2) are L-equivalent each to other.

As known recently many efforts have been made to study the (2+1)-dimensional integrable NPDE[11-14]. Here we have the interesting fact: the (1+1) - dimensional integrable NPDE admit some number (not one) integrable (and nonintegrable) (2+1) - dimensional generalizations. So, for example, the LLE(1) has the following (2+1) - dimensional integrable and nonintegrable extensions:

1°. The Myrzakulov I (M-I) equation[17]

$$\vec{S}_t = (\vec{S} \wedge \vec{S}_y + u\vec{S})_x \quad (5a)$$

$$u_x = -\vec{S}(\vec{S}_x \wedge \vec{S}_y) \quad (5b)$$

2°. The Myrzakulov VIII (M-VIII) equation[17]

$$iS_t = \frac{1}{2}[S_{xx}, S] + iwS_x \quad (6a)$$

$$w_y = \frac{1}{4i}tr(S[S_x, S_y]) \quad (6b)$$

3°. The Ishimori equation[17]

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, (\frac{1}{4}S_{xx} + \alpha^2 S_{yy})] + iu_y S_x + iu_x S_y = 0 \quad (7a)$$

$$\alpha^2 u_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i}tr(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (7b)$$

4°. The Myrzakulov IX (M-IX) equation[17]

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, M_1 S] + A_2 S_x + A_1 S_y = 0 \quad (8a)$$

$$M_2 u = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i}tr(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (8b)$$

5°. The Myrzakulov XVIII (M-XVIII) equation[17]

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, (\frac{1}{4}S_{xx} - \alpha(2b+1)S_{xy} + \alpha^2 S_{yy})] + A_{20}S_x + A_{10}S_y = 0 \quad (9a)$$

$$\alpha^2 u_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i}tr(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (9b)$$

6°. The (2+1) - dimensional LLE

$$\vec{S}_t = \vec{S} \wedge (\vec{S}_{xx} + \vec{S}_{yy}) \quad (10)$$

All of these equations in 1+1 dimension reduce to the LLE(1). Note that here the Ishimori(7), M-I(5), M-VIII(6), M-IX(8) and M-XVIII(9) equations are integrable, at the same time equation (10) is not integrable.

In the study of the (2+1) - dimensional NPDE naturally arise the following questions:

1°. *What is the analog of the SFE(3a) in 2+1 dimensions?*

Answer: The analog of the SFE(3a) in 2+1 dimensions is the following set of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_y = D \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 \\ -Ek & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_3 & m_2 \\ -Em_3 & 0 & m_1 \\ Em_2 & -m_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

In [17], this set of equations was called the Serret-Frenet-Myrzakulov equation (SFME). [Compare the SFME(11) with the usual SFE(3a)]. Note that from the SFME(11) follows the following conditions

$$C_y - D_x + [C, D] = 0, \quad (13a)$$

or in terms of elements

$$m_1 = \partial_x^{-1}(\tau_y + Ekm_2), \quad (13b)$$

$$m_2 = \partial_x^{-1}(km_1 - \tau m_3) \quad (13c)$$

$$m_3 = \partial_x^{-1}(k_y - \tau m_2). \quad (13d)$$

These conditions play a key role in the geometrical interpretation of the (2+1) - dimensional NPDE from the integrability point of view. In particular, as it seems to us, these conditions suggest to us, how find the integrable reductions of the (2+1) - dimensional NPDE. Let us return to questions.

2°. *What is the (2+1)-dimensional version of the L-equivalence?*

3°. *How find the L-equivalent counterparts of the known and new integrable and nonintegrable NPDE?*

and so on. May be the some answers of the some these questions were given in [17]. It should be noted that the SFME(11) plays a key role in our construction. The other new moment of our formalism, that is, it contain both cases: the focusing version when $E = +1$ (the Euclidean case) and the defocusing case when $E = -1$ (the Minkowski case).

Third, the central element of our construction is the Myrzakulov - 0(M-0) equation. Let us consider a n - dimensional space R^n with the unit basic vectors $e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\vec{S} \equiv \vec{e}_1, \vec{S}^2 \equiv \vec{e}_1^2 = E = \pm 1$. Then, for example, the (3+1) - dimensional M-0 equation reads as[9]

$$\vec{S}_t = \sum_{j=2}^n a_j \vec{e}_j \quad (14a)$$

$$\vec{S}_x = \sum_{j=2}^n b_j \vec{e}_j \quad (14b)$$

$$\vec{S}_y = \sum_{j=2}^n c_j \vec{e}_j \quad (14c)$$

$$\vec{S}_z = \sum_{j=2}^n d_j \vec{e}_j \quad (14d)$$

In this paper we consider the some integrable reductions of the (2+1) - dimensional M-0 equation. Using the geometrical formalism the L-equivalent counterparts of the some (2+1) - dimensional integrable spin systems were found.

2 The Myrzakulov XVII equation

The Myrzakulov XVII(M-XVII) equation

$$\vec{S}_t = \frac{1}{4}\vec{S}_{xxx} - \frac{3}{4}\vec{S}_{xyy} + C_1\vec{S}_x + C_2\vec{S}_y + C_3\vec{S}, \quad (15a)$$

$$V_x + iV_y = \frac{1}{8}[(\vec{S}_x^2 + \vec{S}_y^2)_x - i(\vec{S}_x^2 + \vec{S}_y^2)_y] \quad (15b)$$

was introduced in [17] and arises from the compatibility conditions of the linear problem

$$\Phi_y = S\Phi_x, \quad \Phi_t = \Phi_{xxx} + B_2\Phi_{xx} + B_1\Phi_x \quad (16)$$

Here

$$B_2 = \frac{3}{2}SS_x, \quad B_1 = \frac{3}{4}SS_{xx} + \frac{3}{16}(\vec{S}_x^2 + \vec{S}_y^2 + 8\bar{V} + 8V)I + \frac{3}{2}(\bar{V} - V)S + \frac{3i}{8}S\{S_x, S_y\} + \frac{3i}{4}S_{xy}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{3}{16}(\vec{S}_x^2 + \vec{S}_y^2 + 8\bar{V} + 8V), \quad C_2 = \frac{3}{4}(2i\bar{V} - 2iV - \vec{S}_x\vec{S}_y),$$

$$C_3 = -\frac{3}{2}\{[\bar{V} + V - \frac{3}{8}\vec{S}_x^2]_x + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{S}_x\vec{S}_y)_y\}S = \frac{1}{4}\vec{S}(3\vec{S}_{xyy} - \vec{S}_{xxx}),$$

$\vec{S} = (S_1, S_2)$ is the spin vector, $\vec{S}^2 = E = \pm 1$, V is scalar function, $S = \sum_{k=1}^3 S_k \sigma_k$ ($S_3 = 0$), σ_k are Pauli matrix,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is convenient sometimes the following form of the M-XVII equation

$$\vec{S}_t = \vec{S}_{zzz} + \vec{S}_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}} + C^- \vec{S}_z + C^+ \vec{S}_{\bar{z}} + C_3 \vec{S}, \quad (17a)$$

$$V_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{S}_z \vec{S}_{\bar{z}})_z \quad (17b)$$

where $z = x + iy$.

3 The Serret-Frenet-Myrzakulov equation and the mNVE as the L-equivalent counterpart of the M-XVII equation

In this section we find the L-equivalent counterpart of the M-XVII equation(15). To this purpose, following[17] we consider the motion of 2-dimensional curves.

The Serret-Frenet-Myrzaluov equation(SFME) in this case has the form[17]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}_y = D \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ -Ek & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ -Em & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where $m = \partial_x^{-1} k_y$. [Compare the SFME(18) with the usual SFE

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ -Ek & 0 \end{pmatrix}]$$

At the same time the time evolution of curve is specified by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}_t = G \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Here $\vec{e}_k$ are a unit basic vectors,

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ -E\omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

k is the curvature of curve. From these equations we have

$$C_y - D_x + [C, D] = 0, \quad C_t - G_x + [C, G] = 0, \quad D_t - G_y + [D, G] = 0. \quad (22)$$

So we get

$$k_t = \omega_x, \quad m_t = \omega_y. \quad (23)$$

Let $\vec{S} \equiv \vec{e}_1$. Then we obtain

$$\omega = \frac{1}{4}(k_{xx} - 3k_{yy}) - \frac{1}{4}(k^3 - km^2) + c_1 k + c_2 m. \quad (24)$$

with

$$c_1 = \frac{3}{16}(k^2 + m^2 + 8\bar{V} + 8V), \quad c_2 = \frac{3}{4}(2i\bar{V} - 2iV - km).$$

Now introduce the following new real function

$$q = [k^2/4 + (\partial_x^{-1} k_y)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (25)$$

It is not difficult check that this function satisfies the following modified Novikov-Veselov equation(mNVE)

$$q_t = (q_{zzz} + 3Vq_z + \frac{3}{2}V_z q) + (q_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}} + 3\bar{V}q_{\bar{z}} + \frac{3}{2}\bar{V}_{\bar{z}} q) \quad (26a)$$

$$V_{\bar{z}} = (q^2)_z. \quad (26b)$$

where $z = x + iy$. As well known this equation was introduced in[26] and is associated with the Lax representation

$$L^{mNV}\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \partial & -q \\ q & \bar{\partial} \end{pmatrix} \Psi = 0 \quad (27a)$$

$$\Psi_t = (A^+ + A^-)\Psi \quad (27b)$$

where

$$A^+ = \partial^3 + 3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q_z \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \partial + \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2qV \\ 0 & V_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^- = \bar{\partial}^3 + 3 \begin{pmatrix} \bar{V}\bar{\partial} & 0 \\ q_{\bar{z}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\partial} + \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{V}_{\bar{z}} & 0 \\ -2q\bar{V} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we have proved that the mNVE(26) and the M-XVII equation(15) are L-equivalent each to other that coincide with the fact that these equations too are gauge equivalent each to other[16].

4 The related spin systems and their L-equivalents

4.1 The Myrzakulov XI equation

The mNV equation(26) is the some modification of the Novikov - Veselov equation(NVE)

$$q_t = q_{zzz} + q_{\bar{z}\bar{z}\bar{z}} + (Vq)_z + (\bar{V}q)_{\bar{z}}, \quad (28a)$$

$$V_{\bar{z}} = 3(q^2)_z. \quad (28b)$$

The NV equation(28) was introduced in[24-25]. In contrast with the mNVE, the NVE(28) is associated with the (L, A, B) - triple

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} L^{NV} + [L^{NV}, A] - BL^{NV} = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$L^{NV} = \partial\bar{\partial} + q, \quad A = (\partial^3 + V\partial) + (\bar{\partial}^3 + \bar{V}\bar{\partial}), \quad B = \partial V + \bar{\partial}\bar{V}. \quad (30)$$

Let us consider the other form of the NVE

$$q_t = \alpha q_{xxx} + \beta q_{yyy} - 3\alpha(vq)_x - 3\beta(wq)_y \quad (31a)$$

$$w_x = q_y \quad (31b)$$

$$v_y = q_x. \quad (31c)$$

This equation is the compatibility condition of the linear problem

$$\phi_{xy} = q\phi \quad (32a)$$

$$\phi_t = \alpha\phi_{xxx} + \beta\phi_{yyy} - 3\alpha v\phi_x - 3\beta w\phi_y \quad (32b)$$

and introduced in [24-25]. Here note the NVE(31) is the Lakshmanan equivalent to the following (2+1)-dimensional integrable spin model - the socalled M-XI equation[17]

$$\vec{S}_t = \frac{\omega}{k} \vec{S}_x \quad (33)$$

with

$$\omega = \alpha q_{xxx} + \beta q_{yyy} - 3\alpha(vq)_x - 3\beta(wq)_y \quad (34a)$$

$$v_y = k \quad (34b)$$

$$w_x = m \quad (34c)$$

where $q = \partial_x^{-1}k$.

4.2 The Myrzakulov X equation

Similarly we can show that the M-X equation

$$\vec{S}_t = (3k^2 + k_{xx} + 3\alpha^2 w)k^{-1}\vec{S}_x \quad (35a)$$

$$w_{xx} = k_{yy} \quad (35b)$$

is equivalent to the famous Kadomtsev - Petviashvili equation(KPE)

$$(q_t - 6qq_x - q_{xxx})_x = 3\alpha^2 q_{yy} \quad (36)$$

with $q = k$.

5 Conclusion

We have discussed the differential geometrical approach to study the some properties of soliton equations in 2+1 dimensions. Using the geometrical formalism which was presented in[17], the L-equivalent counterparts of the some integrable (2+1) - dimensional spin systems are obtained. So we have shown that the Lakshmanan equivalent soliton equations of the M-XVII, M-XI and M-X equations are the well known modified Novikov - Veselov, Novikov - Veselov and Kadomtsev - Petviashvili equations respectively. Finally note that the some other aspects of the some spin systems were considered in [19-23].

6 Appendix A: On the some integrable (2+1)-dimensional spin systems

6.1 The Myrzakulov IX equation

We note that the M-XVII equation(15) is related with the Myrzakulov - IX(M-IX) hierarchy

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, M_1 S] + A_2 S_x + A_1 S_y = 0 \quad (37a)$$

$$M_2 u = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i} \text{tr}(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (37b)$$

where $\alpha, b, a = \text{const}$ and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 & rS^- \\ rS^+ & -S_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^\pm = S_1 \pm iS_2, \quad S^2 = I, \quad r^2 = \pm 1$$

$$M_1 = \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - 2\alpha(b-a) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + (a^2 - 2ab - b) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2};$$

$$M_2 = \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \alpha(2a+1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + a(a+1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2},$$

$$A_1 = 2i\{(2ab + a + b)u_x - (2b + 1)\alpha u_y\}$$

$$A_2 = 2i\{(2ab + a + b)u_y - \alpha^{-1}(2a^2b + a^2 + 2ab + b)u_x\}.$$

These set of equations is integrable and the Lax representation of the M-IX equation(37) is given by[17]

$$\alpha \Phi_y = \frac{1}{2}[S + (2a + 1)I]\Phi_x \quad (38a)$$

$$\Phi_t = \frac{i}{2}[S + (2b + 1)I]\Phi_{xx} + \frac{i}{2}W\Phi_x \quad (38b)$$

with

$$W_1 = W - W_2 = (2b + 1)E + (2b - a + \frac{1}{2})SS_x + (2b + 1)FS$$

$$W_2 = W - W_1 = FI + \frac{1}{2}S_x + ES + \alpha SS_y$$

$$E = -\frac{i}{2\alpha}u_x, \quad F = \frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{(2a + 1)u_x}{\alpha} - 2u_y\right)$$

It is well known that the M-IX equation(37) is equivalent to the following Zakharov equation[13]

$$iq_t + M_1q + vq = 0, \quad (39a)$$

$$ip_t - M_1p - vp = 0, \quad (39b)$$

$$M_2v = -2M_1(pq), \quad (39c)$$

where $v = i(c_{11} - c_{22})$, $p = E\bar{q}$. It is interest note that the M-IX equation(37) admits the some integrable reductions. Let us now present these particular integrable cases.

6.2 The Myrzakulov VIII equation

Let $b = 0$. Then equations(37) take the form

$$iS_t = \frac{1}{2}[S_{\xi\xi}, S] + iwS_\xi \quad (40a)$$

$$w_\eta = \frac{1}{4i}tr(S[S_\xi, S_\eta]) \quad (40b)$$

where

$$\xi = x + \frac{a+1}{\alpha}y, \quad \eta = -x - \frac{a}{\alpha}y, \quad w = u_\xi,$$

which is the M-VIII equation[17]. The equivalent counterpart of the M-VIII equation(40) we obtain from(39) as $b = 0$

$$iq_t + q_{\xi\xi} + vq = 0, \quad (41a)$$

$$v_\eta = -2r^2(\bar{q}q)_\xi, \quad (41b)$$

which is the other Zakharov equation[13].

6.3 The Ishimori equation

Now consider the case: $a = b = -\frac{1}{2}$. In this case equations(37) reduces to the well known Ishimori equation

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, (\frac{1}{4}S_{xx} + \alpha^2 S_{yy})] + iu_y S_x + iu_x S_y = 0 \quad (42a)$$

$$\alpha^2 u_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i} \text{tr}(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (42b)$$

The equivalent counterpart of the equation(42) is the Davey-Stewartson equation

$$iq_t + \frac{1}{4}q_{xx} + \alpha^2 q_{yy} + vq = 0 \quad (43a)$$

$$\alpha^2 v_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}v_{xx} = -2\{\alpha^2 (pq)_{yy} + \frac{1}{4}(pq)_{xx}\} \quad (43b)$$

that follows from the ZE(39). Note that equations (42) and (43) are gauge equivalent each to other[11].

6.4 The Myrzakulov XVIII equation

Consider the reduction: $a = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then (37) reduces to the M-XVIII equation[17]

$$iS_t + \frac{1}{2}[S, (\frac{1}{4}S_{xx} - \alpha(2b+1)S_{xy} + \alpha^2 S_{yy})] + A_{20}S_x + A_{10}S_y = 0 \quad (44a)$$

$$\alpha^2 u_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4i} \text{tr}(S[S_y, S_x]) \quad (44b)$$

where $A_{j0} = A_j$ as $a = -\frac{1}{2}$. The corresponding gauge equivalent equation obtain from(39) and looks like

$$iq_t + \frac{1}{4}q_{xx} - \alpha(2b+1)q_{xy} + \alpha^2 q_{yy} + vq = 0 \quad (45a)$$

$$\alpha^2 v_{yy} - \frac{1}{4}v_{xx} = -2\{\alpha^2 (pq)_{yy} - \alpha(2b+1)(pq)_{xy} + \frac{1}{4}(pq)_{xx}\} \quad (45b)$$

Note that the Lax representations of equations(40), (42) and (44) we can get from (38) as $b = 0, a = b = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ respectively.

7 Appendix B: Differential geometry of surfaces and the M-XXII equation

Consider the Myrzakulov (M-XXII) equation[17]

$$-iS_t = \frac{1}{2}([S, S_y] + 2iuS)_x + \frac{i}{2}V_1 S_x - 2ib^2 S_y \quad (46a)$$

$$u_x = -\vec{S}(\vec{S}_x \wedge \vec{S}_y), \quad V_{1x} = \frac{1}{4b^2}(\vec{S}_x^2)_y, \quad (46b)$$

where $\vec{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is a spin vector, $\vec{S}^2 = E = \pm 1$. These equations are integrable. The corresponding Lax representation is given by[17]

$$\Phi_x = \{-i(\lambda^2 - b^2)S + \frac{\lambda - b}{2b}SS_x\}\Phi \quad (47a)$$

$$\Phi_t = 2\lambda^2\Phi_y + \{(\lambda^2 - b^2)(2A + B) + (\lambda - b)C\}\Phi \quad (47b)$$

with

$$A = \frac{1}{4}([S, S_y] + 2iuS) + \frac{i}{4}V_1S, \quad B = \frac{i}{2}V_1S, \quad C = -\frac{V_1}{4b^2}SS_x + \frac{i}{2b}\{S_{xy} - [S_x, A]\}.$$

Here spin matrix has the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 & rS^- \\ rS^+ & -S_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^2 = I, \quad r^2 = \pm 1, \quad S^\pm = S_1 \pm iS_2.$$

Now find the Lakshmanan equivalent counterpart of the M-XXII equation (46) for the case $E = +1$ (for the case $E = -1$, see, e.g., [17]). To this end we can use the two geometrical approaches(D- and C-approaches). Let us use the C-approach, i.e., the surface approach. Consider the motion of surface in the 3-dimensional space which generated by a position vector $\vec{r}(x, y, t) = \vec{r}(x^1, x^2, t)$. According to the C-approach, x and y are local coordinates on the surface. The first and second fundamental forms in the usual notation are given by

$$I = d\vec{r}d\vec{r} = Edx^2 + 2Fdx dy + Gdy^2, \quad II = -d\vec{r}d\vec{n} = Ldx^2 + 2Mdx dy + Ndy^2 \quad (48)$$

where

$$E = \vec{r}_x\vec{r}_x = g_{11}, \quad F = \vec{r}_x\vec{r}_y = g_{12}, \quad G = \vec{r}_y\vec{r}_y = g_{22},$$

$$L = \vec{n}\vec{r}_{xx} = b_{11}, \quad M = \vec{n}\vec{r}_{xy} = b_{12}, \quad N = \vec{n}\vec{r}_{yy} = b_{22}, \quad \vec{n} = \frac{(\vec{r}_x \wedge \vec{r}_y)}{|\vec{r}_x \wedge \vec{r}_y|}.$$

In this case, the set of equations of the C-approach[17], becomes

$$\vec{r}_t = W_1\vec{r}_x + W_2\vec{r}_y + W_3\vec{n} \quad (49a)$$

$$\vec{r}_{xx} = \Gamma_{11}^1\vec{r}_x + \Gamma_{11}^2\vec{r}_y + L\vec{n} \quad (49b)$$

$$\vec{r}_{xy} = \Gamma_{12}^1\vec{r}_x + \Gamma_{12}^2\vec{r}_y + M\vec{n} \quad (49c)$$

$$\vec{r}_{yy} = \Gamma_{22}^1\vec{r}_x + \Gamma_{22}^2\vec{r}_y + N\vec{n} \quad (49d)$$

$$\vec{n}_x = p_1\vec{r}_x + p_2\vec{r}_y \quad (49e)$$

$$\vec{n}_y = q_1\vec{r}_x + q_2\vec{r}_y \quad (49f)$$

where W_j are some functions, Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols of the second kind defined by the metric g_{ij} and $g^{ij} = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ as

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left(\frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^l}\right) \quad (50)$$

The coefficients p_i, q_i are given by

$$p_i = -b_{1j}g^{ji}, \quad q_i = -b_{2j}g^{ji}. \quad (51)$$

The compatibility conditions $\vec{r}_{xy} = \vec{r}_{yx}$ and $\vec{r}_{yyx} = \vec{r}_{xyy}$ yield the following Mainardi-Peterson-Codazzi equations (MPCE)

$$R_{ijk}^l = b_{ij}b_k^l - b_{ik}b_j^l, \quad \frac{\partial b_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial b_{ik}}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma_{ik}^s b_{is} - \Gamma_{ij}^s b_{ks} \quad (52)$$

where $b_i^j = g^{jl}b_{il}$ and the curvature tensor has the form

$$R_{ijk}^l = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}^l}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{ij}^s \Gamma_{ks}^l - \Gamma_{ik}^s \Gamma_{js}^l \quad (53)$$

Let $Z = (r_x, r_y, n)^t$. Then

$$Z_x = AZ, \quad Z_y = BZ \quad (54)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^1 & \Gamma_{11}^2 & L \\ \Gamma_{12}^1 & \Gamma_{12}^2 & M \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{12}^1 & \Gamma_{12}^2 & M \\ \Gamma_{22}^1 & \Gamma_{22}^2 & N \\ q_1 & q_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (55)$$

Hence we get the new form of the MPCE(52)

$$A_y - B_x + [A, B] = 0 \quad (56)$$

Let us introduce the orthogonal trihedral[17]

$$\vec{e}_1 = \frac{\vec{r}_x}{\sqrt{E}}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \vec{n}, \quad \vec{e}_3 = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \quad (57)$$

Let $\vec{r}_x^2 = E = \pm 1$ and $F = 0$. Then the vectors $\vec{e}_j$ satisfy the following Serret-Frenet-Myrzakulov equation(SFME)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_y = D \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (58)$$

and the time equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_t = G \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1 \\ \vec{e}_2 \\ \vec{e}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (59)$$

with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 \\ -Ek & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_3 & -m_2 \\ -Em_3 & 0 & m_1 \\ Em_2 & -m_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \\ -E\omega_3 & 0 & \omega_1 \\ E\omega_2 & -\omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$k = \frac{L}{2}, \quad \tau = MG^{-1/2}. \quad (60)$$

Hence we have

$$C_y - D_x + [C, D] = 0, \quad C_t - G_x + [C, G] = 0, \quad D_t - G_y + [D, G] = 0. \quad (61)$$

Now let $\vec{S} = \vec{e}_1$. Let us now introduce the new function q by

$$q = \frac{k}{2b} \exp i[\frac{1}{8}\partial_x^{-1}(k^2b^{-2} - 4\tau) - 2b^2x] \quad (62)$$

Then the function q satisfies the following equations[17]

$$iq_t + q_{yx} + \frac{i}{2}[(V_1q)_x - V_2q - qpq_y] = 0 \quad (63a)$$

$$ip_t - p_{yx} + \frac{i}{2}[(V_1p)_x + V_2p - qpp_y] = 0 \quad (63b)$$

$$V_{1x} = (pq)_y, \quad V_{2x} = p_{yx}q - pq_{yx} \quad (63c)$$

where $p = E\bar{q}$. This set of equations is the L-equivalent counterpart of the M-XXII equation(46). As it seems to us, equations (63) are new integrable equation. We will call (63) the M-XXII_q equation. Now let us consider the following transformation

$$q' = q \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\partial_x^{-1}|q|^2) \quad (64)$$

Then the new variable q' satisfies the Strachan equation[18]

$$iq'_t + q'_{xy} + i(Vq')_x = 0, \quad V_x = E(|q'|^2)_y. \quad (65)$$

We see that the M-XXII_q equation(7) and the Strachan equation(22) is gauge equivalent to each other.

References

- [1] A. Sym // Springer Lecture Notes in Physics, edited by R. Martini (Springer, Berlin 1985) v.239, p 154
- [2] M. Lakshmanan // Phys. Lett., v.A61, 53 (1977); J. Math. Phys., v.20, 1667(1979)
- [3] K. Nakayama, H. Segur and M. Wadati//Phys.Rev.Lett. v.69, N18,2603-2606(1992)
- [4] A. Doliwa and P.M. Santini // Phys. Lett., v.A185, 373 (1994)
- [5] A.Bobenko // Surfaces in terms of 2 by 2 matrices. Old and new integrable cases. In: Harmonic maps and integrable systems(Vieweg, Aspects Math. E23, 83-127(1994)
- [6] J.Cieslinski, A.Sym and W.Wesselius //J.Phys.A: Math. Gen., v.26, 1353(1993)
- [7] R. Balakrishnan, A.R.Bishop and R.Dandoloff// Phys.Rev., B47,3108(1993)
- [8] W.Zakrzewski // Low dimensional sigma models (Hilger, 1989)

- [9] B.G.Konopelchenko // Multidimensional integrable systems and dynamics of surfaces in space (Preprint, Acad.Sinica, Taipei, 1993)
- [10] L.A. Takhtajan // Phys. Lett., v.A64, 235(1977)
- [11] B.G.Konopelchenko//Solitons in multidimensions.World Scientific. Singapore.1993.
- [12] M.J. Ablowitz and P.A. Clarkson // Solitons, Nonlinear evolution equations and Inverse Scattering. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991)
- [13] V. E. Zakharov // Solitons. eds. by R.K. Bullough and P.J. Caudrey (Springer, Berlin, 1980)
- [14] M.Boiti, L.Martina and F.Pempinelli // Multidimensional localized solitons(25.08.1993).
- [15] V. E. Zakharov, L. A. Takhtajan //Theor. Math. Phys. 1979. v.38. p.17.
- [16] G. N. Nugmanova// The Myrzakulov equations: the gauge equivalent counterparts and soliton solutions. Alma-Ata (1992)
- [17] R. Myrzakulov // On some integrable and nonintegrable soliton equations of magnets (HEPI, Alma-Ata, 1987)
- [18] I. A. B. Strachan // J. Math. Phys., v.34, 243 (1993)
- [19] G.N.Nugmanova// On magnetoelastic solitons in ferromagnet. Preprint CNLP-1994-01. Alma-Ata. 1994.
- [20] R. Myrzakulov // Soliton equations in 2+1 dimensions and Differential geometry of curves/surfaces. Preprint CNLP-1994-02. Alma-Ata. 1994.
- [21] R. Myrzakulov // A (2+1) - dimensional integrable spin model (the M-XXII equation) and Differential geometry of curves/surfaces. Preprint CNLP-1994-03. Alma-Ata. 1994.
- [22] R. Myrzakulov // The gauge equivalence of the Zakharov equations and (2+1) - dimensional continuous Heisenberg ferromagnetic models. Preprint CNLP-1994-04. Alma-Ata. 1994.
- [23] R. Myrzakulov // Gauge equivalence between two - dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnets with single - site anisotropy and Zakharov equations. Preprint CNLP-1994-05. Alma-Ata. 1994.
- [24] L.P. Nizhnik //DAN SSSR, v.254, 332(1980)
- [25] A.P. Veselov and S.P.Novikov //DAN SSSR, v.279, 20(1984)
- [26] L.V.Bogdanov // Theor. and Math. Phys., v.70, 309-314(1987)